



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/874,258	06/06/2001	Victor Larson	00479.00032	5950
22907	7590	02/09/2006	EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF 1001 G STREET N W SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20001			PHUNKULH, BOB A	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2661	

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/874,258	LARSON, VICTOR
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Bob A. Phunkulh	2661

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

**A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.**

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/09/2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) Claim(s) 1-26,28-41,46-78 and 83 is/are pending in the application.
  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-25,27,28,30-33,36-38,46-53,55-65,67-76 and 83 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 7,26,29,34,35,39-41,54,66 and 77 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
    - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
      1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
      2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
      3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)              |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/8/2005</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.  |

## DETAILED ACTION

This communication is in response to applicant's 11/09/2005 amendment(s)/response(s) in the application of **LARSON** for "**THIRD PARTY VPN CERTIFICATION**" filed 06/06/2001. The amendments/response to the claims have been entered. No claims have been canceled. No claims have been added. Claims 1-26, 28-41, 46-78, 83 are now pending.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 8-25, 28, 33, 36-38, 46-53, 55-65, 67-78, 83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Muyiyappa et al. (US 6092200), hereinafter *Muyiyappa*.

Regarding claims 1 and 16, *Muniyappa* discloses each node stores a public key and a private key for secure communication in a first cryptographic mode, such as public key cryptography (see col. 2 lines 58-60); and the nodes exchange information to derive the final, agreed-upon secret keys. Once in possession of the configuration data and secret keys, the nodes may effect selective secure communication among one another according to that data (see col. 3 lines 10-15). Therefore, *Muniyapp* discloses

sending/exchanging certificates (secret keys) between the two nodes for establishing a VPN connection.

Regarding claims 2 and 17, *Muniyappa* discloses the master node receiving requested public key or private key from the certification authority 80 (see col. 4 lines 43-56 and col. 5 lines 53-63).

Regarding claim 3, *Muniyappa* discloses sending the request from the first VPN device to the second VPN device sends the request to the secure domain name address associated with the second VPN device (received packets from the localized computers include destination addresses (the secure domain name address associated with the VPN destination device), see col. 3 lines 63-67) .

Regarding claim 4, *Muniyappa* discloses the request from the first VPN device to the second VPN device for establishing the VPN further includes receiving a request for establishing the VPN from a client device that is associated with the first VPN device (each of the plurality of nodes are further connected to a LAN or localized computers, and connection are establish in response to a request received from the localized computers or LAN, see col. 3 lines 56-66).

Regarding claim 5, *Muniyappa* discloses the request received from the client device includes a destination designation for the VPN (received packets from the

localized computers specifying addresses of source and destination nodes, see col. 3 lines 63-67).

Regarding claim 6, *Muniyappa* discloses the request received from the client device includes a source/destination designation for the VPN (received packets from the localized computers specifying addresses of source and destination nodes, see col. 3 lines 63-67).

Regarding claim 8, *Muniyappa* discloses verifying at the first VPN device the second signed certificate having at least one verified VPN parameter for the second VPN device (see col. 5 lines 48-63).

Regarding claim 9, *Muniyappa* discloses verifying the second signed certificate includes a step of sending a request from the first VPN device to an on-line database (certification authority 80) for obtaining a public key associated with the second VPN device (see col. 5 lines 48-63).

Regarding claim 10, *Muniyappa* discloses the step of verifying at the second VPN device the first signed certificate having at least one verified VPN parameter for the first VPN device (col. 5 lines 42-46).

Regarding claim 11, *Muniyappa* disclose the step of verifying the first signed certificate includes a step of sending a request to an on-line database from the second VPN device for obtaining a public key associated with the first VPN device (sending request to certification authority 80, see col. 5 lines 48-63).

Regarding claim 12, *Muniyappa* discloses determining at the second VPN device whether a policy rule prevents a VPN connection to the first VPN device; and sending the reply to the first VPN device from the second VPN device when no policy rule prevents a VPN connection to the first VPN device, and not sending the reply to the first VPN when a policy rule prevents a VPN connection to the first VPN device (a "configuration," as used herein, refers to the ability of nodes to communicate with each other over the established logical links using the virtual private network of this invention. Notably, only certain links are allowed; each allowed link being depicted in FIG. 1 according to Sender-Receiver notation, see col. 4 lines 24-33).

Regarding claims 13, 21, *Muniyappa* discloses the telecommunications network is the Internet (Internet/Intranet 40, see figure 1).

Regarding claims 12 and 20, *Muniyappa* discloses determining at the second VPN device whether a policy rule prevents a VPN connection to the first VPN device; and sending the reply to the first VPN device from the second VPN device when no policy rule prevents a VPN connection to the first VPN device, and not sending the reply

to the first VPN when a policy rule prevents a VPN connection to the first VPN device (a "configuration," as used herein, refers to the ability of nodes to communicate with each other over the established logical links using the virtual private network of this invention. Notably, only certain links are allowed; each allowed link being depicted in FIG. 1 according to Sender-Receiver notation, see col. 4 lines 24-33).

Regarding claims 14, 22, *Muniyappa* discloses establishing the VPN between the first and second VPN devices establishes a standing VPN connection (col. 2 lines 44-54; step 110 in figure 3).

Regarding claims 15, 23, *Muniyappa* discloses establishing the VPN between the first and second VPN devices establishes a VPN of opportunity (col. 2 lines 44-54; step 110 in figure 3).

Regarding claim 18, *Muniyappa* discloses the step of verifying at the second VPN device the first signed certificate having at least one verified VPN parameter for the first VPN device (see col. 5 lines 43-46).

Regarding claim 19, *Muniyappa* disclose the step of verifying the first signed certificate includes a step of sending a request to an on-line database from the second VPN device for obtaining a public key associated with the first VPN device (sending

request to certification authority 80, see col. 5 lines 48-63).

Regarding claim 24, *Muniyappa* discloses a method for creating a virtual private network (VPN) over a telecommunications network, comprising steps of:

sending a certificate request for a virtual private network (VPN) device to a certification authority connected to the telecommunications network, the certificate request including at least one VPN parameter that will be used by the VPN device for establishing a VPN over the telecommunications network (each nodes requests signed certificate to a certification authority 80, see col. 4 lines 42-56);

receiving a signed certification from the certification authority, the signed certification containing the at least one VPN parameter contained in the certificate request (the CA 80 assigned the certifications i.e. public and private keys for setting up VPN, see col. 4 lines 42-56);

configuring the VPN device to operate in accordance with the at least one VPN parameter contained in the signed certificate (see col. 4 line 64 to col. 5 line 12),

exchanging the signed certificate with another VPN device at a selected telecommunications network address; and

establishing the VPN in accordance with the least one VPN parameter contained in the signed certificate (once in possession of the configuration data and secret keys, the nodes may effect selective secure communication among one another according to that data, see col. 3 lines 10-15).

Regarding claim 25, *Muniyappa* discloses the certificate request includes at least one telecommunications network address (master node address information) that the VPN device will use for establishing a VPN device (see col. 5 lines 51-63).

Regarding claim 28, *Muniyappa* the step of establishing the VPN is further based on a source and destination name pair (VPN connection is establish based on the received packets source addresses and destination address at each nodes, see col. 3 lines 63-67).

Regarding claim 30, *Muniyappa* discloses the step of establishing the VPN is further based on at least one rule allowing a VPN connection to the selected telecommunications network address (master node address information, see col. 5 lines 51-63).

Regarding claim 33, *Muniyappa* discloses the telecommunications network is the Internet (Internet/Intranet 40, see figure 1).

Regarding claim 36, *Muniyappa* disclose sending at least one VPN parameter for the VPN device that is not contained in the certificate request to the certification authority for verification by the certificate authority (see col. 5 lines 43-47).

Regarding claim 37, *Muniyappa* discloses receiving the certificate request for the VPN device from the VPN device at the certification authority; verifying at the certification authority the at least one VPN parameter contained in the certificate request; and sending the signed certification to the VPN device when each VPN parameter contained in the certificate request is verified (see col. 5 lines 47-57).

Regarding claim 38, *Muniyappa* the certificate request includes at least one telecommunications network address that the VPN device will use as a client network address for a VPN established through the VPN device, wherein the step of verifying verifies each telecommunication network address contained in the certificate request (providing the certification authority 80 with master's address for verification, see col. 5 lines 47-57) .

Regarding claim 60, *Muniyappa* discloses a computer-readable medium containing computer executable instructions for performing steps of:  
sending a request from a first VPN device to a second VPN device for establishing a VPN between the first and second VPN devices, the request including a first signed certificate having at least one verified VPN parameter for the first VPN device (the plurality of nodes establish VPN links using based on the configuration information i.e. signed public key, private key, and secret key cryptography techniques, see claim 1) ; and

receiving a reply at the first VPN device from the second VPN device, the reply including a second signed certificate having at least one verified VPN parameter for the second VPN device (see col. 5 lines 37-46); and

establishing the VPN between the first and second VPN devices based each verified VPN parameter for each of the first and second VPN devices (once in possession of the configuration data and secret keys, the nodes may effect selective secure communication among one another according to that data, see col. 3 lines 10-15; and col. 3 lines 10-16).

Regarding claim 61, *Muniyappa* discloses sending a request from the first VPN device to an on-line database connected to the telecommunications network for a secure domain name address associated with the second VPN device (see col. 5 lines 43-47).

Regarding claim 62, *Muniyappa* discloses sending the request from the first VPN device to the second VPN device sends the request to the secure domain name address associated with the second VPN device (see col. 5 lines 43-47).

Regarding claim 63, *Muniyappa* discloses the request from the first VPN device to the second VPN device for establishing the VPN further includes receiving a request for establishing the VPN from a client device that is associated with the first VPN device (each of the plurality of nodes are further connected to a LAN or localized computers,

and connection are establish in response to a request received from the localized computers or LAN, see col. 3 lines 56-66).

Regarding claim 64, *Muniyappa* discloses the request received from the client device includes a destination designation for the VPN (received packets from the localized computers specifying addresses of source and destination nodes, see col. 3 lines 63-67).

Regarding claim 65, *Muniyappa* discloses the request received from the client device includes a source/destination designation for the VPN (received packets from the localized computers specifying addresses of source and destination nodes, see col. 3 lines 63-67).

Regarding claim 67, *Muniyappa* discloses the step of verifying at the first VPN device the second signed certificate having at least one verified VPN parameter for the second VPN device (see col. 5 lines 40-46).

Regarding claim 68, *Muniyappa* discloses verifying the second signed certificate includes a step of sending a request from the first VPN device to an on-line database for a public key associated with the second VPN device (see col. 5 lines 48-57).

Regarding claim 69, *Muniyappa* discloses verifying at the second VPN device the first signed certificate having at least one verified VPN parameter for the first VPN device (see col. 5 lines 48-57).

Regarding claim 70, *Muniyappa* discloses the step of verifying the first signed certificate includes a step of sending a request to an on-line database from the second VPN device for a public key associated with the first VPN device (see col. 5 lines 48-57).

Regarding claim 71, *Muniyappa* discloses determining at the second VPN device whether a policy rule prevents a VPN connection to the first VPN device; and sending the reply to the first VPN device from the second VPN device when no policy rule prevents a VPN connection to the first VPN device, and not sending the reply to the first VPN when a policy rule prevents a VPN connection to the first VPN device (a "configuration," as used herein, refers to the ability of nodes to communicate with each other over the established logical links using the virtual private network of this invention. Notably, only certain links are allowed; each allowed link being depicted in FIG. 1 according to Sender-Receiver notation, see col. 4 lines 24-33).

Regarding claim 72, *Muniyappa* discloses the telecommunications network is the Internet (Internet/Intranet 40, see figure 1).

Regarding claim 73, *Muniyappa* discloses establishing the VPN between the first and second VPN devices establishes a standing VPN connection (col. 2 lines 44-54; step 110 in figure 3).

Regarding claim 74, *Muniyappa* discloses establishing the VPN between the first and second VPN devices establishes a VPN of opportunity (col. 2 lines 44-54; step 110 in figure 3).

Regarding claim 75, *Muniyappa* discloses a computer-readable medium containing computer-executable instructions for performing steps of:

sending a certificate request for a virtual private network device to a certification authority connected to the telecommunications network, the certificate request including at least one VPN parameter that will be used by the VPN device for establishing a VPN over the telecommunications network (each node queries the certification authority to receive the public key by providing the certification authority with the master's address information so that the certification authority can look-up the appropriate public key (VPN parameter) for the master based upon its address information, see col. 5 lines 52-57);

receiving a signed certification from the certification authority, the signed certification containing the at least one VPN parameter contained in the certificate request (see col. 5 lines 58-60); and

configuring the VPN device to operate in accordance with the at least one VPN parameter contained in the signed certificate (col. 9 lines 59-62);

exchanging the signed certificate with other VPN device at a selected telecommunications network address; and

establishing the VPN in accordance at least one VPN parameter contained in the signed certificate (each node begins communication with other nodes in the network, according to the configuration scheme, to establish shared secret keys between nodes, see col. 6 lines 3-17; and col. 3 lines 10-16).

Regarding claim 76, *Muniyappa* disclose the certificate request includes at least one telecommunications network address that the VPN device will use as a client network address for a VPN established through the VPN device (master's network address, see col. 5 lines 53-57).

Regarding claim 78, *Muniyappa* discloses the telecommunications network is the Internet (Internet/Intranet 40, see figure 1).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Muniyappa* in view of *Genty et al.* (US 6,675,225), hereinafter *Genty*.

Regarding claim 31-32, fails to disclose establishing the VPN based on QOS or bandwidth limitation parameter.

*Genty*, on the other hand, discloses establishing the VPN based on QOS or bandwidth limitation parameter (see col. 6 lines 30-32).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made includes the teaching of *Genty* in the system taught by *Muniyappa* in order to efficiently use the limited network resources while providing user's demands.

Claims 46-53, 55-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Muniyappa* in view of *Frailong et al.* (US 6012100), hereinafter *Frailong*.

Regarding claim 46, *Muniyappa* discloses a virtual private network (VPN) device, comprising:

a memory containing a certificate that has been signed by a certification authority, the signed certificate containing at least one VPN parameter for the VPN

Art Unit: 2616

device that has been verified by the certification authority (each node stores a public key and a private key for secure communication in a first cryptographic mode, such as public key cryptography, see col. 2 lines 55-63); and

a processor programmed to receive a request for establishing a VPN between the VPN device and a second VPN device and respond to the request by sending the signed certificate over a telecommunications network to the second VPN device based on the received request (nodes communicate with each other based upon a configuration data, where the configuration data includes cryptographic key, see abstract).

*Muniyappa* fails to disclose the memory in the node contains a plurality of pre-authorized name pairs having a local name and a remote for a VPN.

*Frailong*, on the other hand, discloses the memory in the node contains a plurality of pre-authorized name pairs having a local name and a remote for a VPN (see col. 18 line 46-54).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made includes the teaching of *Frailong* in the system taught by *Muniyappa* especially storing a plurality of pre-authorized name pairs in the node in order to provides different level of enhanced security or allowing the node to be a member of multiple VPN networks.

Regarding claim 47, *Muniyappa* discloses the request is received from the second VPN device, and a signed certificate for the second VPN device, the signed

certificate for the second VPN device containing at least one VPN parameter for the second VPN device that has been verified by a certification authority (nodes uses the secret key i.e. signed certificates to communicate among each other, see col. 3 lines 10-15).

Regarding claim 48, *Muniyappa* discloses the processor verifies the signed certificate for the second VPN device before sending the signed certificate to the second VPN device (each nodes have pre-assigned keys or signed certificates, col. 2 lines 55-63).

Regarding claim 49, *Muniyappa* discloses the processor verifies the signed certificate for the second VPN device using a public key associated with the second VPN device (see col. 5 lines 47-57).

Regarding claim 50, *Muniyappa* discloses the processor establishes a VPN based on each verified VPN parameter for the VPN device and based each verified VPN parameter for the second VPN device (see col. 5 lines 47-57).

Regarding claim 51, *Muniyappa* discloses the request from the first VPN device to the second VPN device for establishing the VPN further includes receiving a request for establishing the VPN from a client device that is associated with the first VPN device (each of the plurality of nodes are further connected to a LAN or localized computers,

and connection are establish in response to a request received from the localized computers or LAN, see col. 3 lines 56-66).

Regarding claim 52, *Muniyappa* discloses the request received from the client device includes a destination designation for the VPN (received packets from the localized computers specifying addresses of source and destination nodes, see col. 3 lines 63-67).

Regarding claim 53, *Muniyappa* discloses the request received from the client device includes a source/destination designation for the VPN (received packets from the localized computers specifying addresses of source and destination nodes, see col. 3 lines 63-67).

Regarding claim 55, *Muniyappa* discloses determining at the second VPN device whether a policy rule prevents a VPN connection to the first VPN device; and sending the reply to the first VPN device from the second VPN device when no policy rule prevents a VPN connection to the first VPN device, and not sending the reply to the first VPN when a policy rule prevents a VPN connection to the first VPN device (a "configuration," as used herein, refers to the ability of nodes to communicate with each other over the established logical links using the virtual private network of this invention. Notably, only certain links are allowed; each allowed link being depicted in FIG. 1

according to Sender-Receiver notation, see col. 4 lines 24-33).

Regarding claim 56, *Muniyappa* discloses the telecommunications network is the Internet (internet/intranet 40, see figure1 ).

Regarding claim 57, *Muniyappa* discloses establishing the VPN between the first and second VPN devices establishes a standing VPN connection (col. 2 lines 44-54; step 110 in figure 3).

Regarding claim 58, *Muniyappa* discloses establishing the VPN between the first and second VPN devices establishes a VPN of opportunity (col. 2 lines 44-54; step 110 in figure 3).

Regarding claim 59, *Muniyappa* discloses the VPN device is one of a VPN concentrator, a router, a firewall and a host computer (the plurality nodes 20, 22, 24, 36, see col. 3 lines 56-67).

Regarding claim 83, *Muniyappa* disclose the second certification authority and the certification authority are that same (Certification Authority 80, see figure 1).

***Allowable Subject Matter***

Claims 7, 26, 29, 34-35, 39-41, 54, 66, 77, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments filed 11/9/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the applicant's argument in pages 16 and 17, *Muniyappa* discloses each node stores a public key and a private key for secure communication in a first cryptographic mode, such as public key cryptography (see col. 2 lines 58-60); and the nodes exchange information to derive the final, agreed-upon secret keys. Once in possession of the configuration data and secret keys, the nodes may effect selective secure communication among one another according to that data (see col. 3 lines 10-15). Therefore, *Muniyapp* discloses sending/exchanging certificates (secret keys) between the two nodes for establishing a VPN connection.

In response to the applicant's argument in page 18, *Muniyappa* discloses the private keys are originally delivered to each node using an insecure method such as electronic mail (E-mail) or physical delivery of a disk or other storage medium to each node site. The certification authority 80 then retains a public key for each node, which is accessible by all other nodes in the network when needed (see col. 4 lines 43-56). Therefore, each nodes receiving a signed certification from the certification authority 80;

and exchanging certificates (secret keys) between the two nodes for establishing a VPN connection (see col. 3 lines 10-15).

In response to the applicant's argument in pages 20, *Muniyappa* discloses each node stores a public key and a private key for secure communication in a first cryptographic mode, such as public key cryptography (see col. 2 lines 58-60); and the nodes exchange information to derive the final, agreed-upon secret keys. Once in possession of the configuration data and secret keys, the nodes may effect selective secure communication among one another according to that data (see col. 3 lines 10-15). Therefore, *Muniyapp* discloses sending/exchanging certificates (secret keys) between the two nodes for establishing a VPN connection.

In response to the applicant's argument in page 22, *Muniyappa* discloses after queries the certification authority, the public key information is then transferred to each requesting node (see col. 5 lines 58-59); each node begins communication with other nodes in the network, according to the configuration scheme, to establish shared secret keys between nodes (see col. 6 lines 3-17).

### ***Conclusion***

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2616

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

**Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

The following address mail to be delivered by the United States Postal Service (USPS) only:

Mail Stop \_\_\_\_\_  
Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**or faxed to:**

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications intended for entry)

**Or:**

The following address mail to be delivered by other delivery services (Federal Express (Fed Ex), UPS, DHL, Laser, Action, Purolater, Hand Delivery, etc.) as follow:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
220 20<sup>th</sup> Street South  
Customer Window, Mail Stop \_\_\_\_\_  
Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03  
Arlington, VA 22202.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Bob A. Phunkulh** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-3083**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tursday from 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. (first week of the bi-week) and Monday-Friday (for second week of the bi-week).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor **Wellington Chin**, can be reach on **(571) 272-3134**. The fax phone number for this group is **(571) 273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
Bob A. Phunkulh  
Primary Examiner  
Technology Division 2616  
February 6, 2006

BOB PHUNKULH  
PRIMARY EXAMINER